

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/691,479	10/22/2003	Michael J. Unhoch	AVE-005	5038	
7590 03/21/2005			EXAMINER		
John A. Parrish Law Offices Of John A. Parrish			LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M		
Suite 300	Joilli A. Fairisii	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Two Bala Plaza			1724		
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						_		
			on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary  The MAILING DATE of this communication app		10/691,4		UNHOCH, MICH,	AEL J.			
		Examine		Art Unit				
		l	Lawrence	1724				
Period fo	or Reply	cauon appears on un	3 COVER Sneet Will	the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS C	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nunication. 0) days, a reply within the stat atutory period will apply and w will. by statute. cause the ano	ent, however, may a rep tutory minimum of thirty ( rill expire SIX (6) MONTH blication to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered time  13 from the mailing date of this of	ely. communication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
,								
3)□				's prosecution as to th	e merits is			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🔀	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the a	nnlication						
,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	101401411511					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 22</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction	on and/or election red	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			, ,	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			19(a)-(d) or (f).	•	į		
	1. Certified copies of the priority of							
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority of</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of</li></ul>							
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation</li> </ol>			ceived in this National	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	,	` ''	ceived		•		
·			ned copies not to	001704.				
Attachman	4(a)							
Attachment  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Sur	· (DTO 442)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s)/i	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)		rmal Patent Application (PT	0-152)			
0.000	1.00							

Application/Control Number: 10/691,479

Art Unit: 1724

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10 and 22, drawn to a method for treating a volume of water, classified in class 210, subclass 749.
- II. Claims 11-21, drawn to a system for treating a volume of water, classified in class210, subclass 198.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as in the treatment of hydrocarbon streams. For this restriction, it is assumed that claims 12-20 erroneously recite a "method" and were intended to refer to the system of claim 11. Applicant is requested to cancel the withdrawn claims if a reply is intended to put the application in condition for allowance.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. John Parrish on March 14, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-10 and 22.

  Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims

Art Unit: 1724

11-21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

### Specification

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a *single paragraph* on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-10 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 22 are indefinite because no units are given for the values of MD, PV and DC. It is suggested that the claims be amended by including the units "(oz.)" for MD, "(gal.)" for PV, and "(oz./1000 gal)" for DC. Claims 2-10 are rejected for depending from a rejected parent claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – .

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alwerud (5,326,481).

Art Unit: 1724

10. Alwerd '481 teaches a method for treating water in a swimming pool to maintain a predetermined level of chlorine over a period of time, comprising using a computer (3) to control the delivery of chlorine to the pool by operating a chlorine pump (9). The computer is calibrated to provide a chlorine dose based on the volume of the pool in gallons and a known consumption amount of chlorine based on the temperature (see figure, col. 1, lines 40-59, col. 2, lines 28-59, col. 3, lines 1-22, table, claim 1).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. Claims 2-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

  The prior art of record fails to suggest a motivation for operating the pump based on the recited equation (2) and its related parameters.

### Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references listed on the attached PTO-892 form disclose automatic swimming pool dosing systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,479

Art Unit: 1724

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

fl

Trank Faurence 3-14-05